Ordinance No. 12/889

Council Bill No. 115338

AN ORDINANCE relating to the construction of new fire facilities; amending the 2005 Adopted Budget, including the 2005-2010 Capital Improvement Program (CIP); amending a CIP project; making appropriations to the Fleets and Facilities Department, from the Cumulative Reserve Subfund and the 2003 Fire Facilities Fund to pay for the construction of a new Fire Station 10; all by a three-fourths vote of the City Council.

CF No.	
Date Introduced: 8-1-05	
Date 1st Referred:	To: (committee) Public Safety,
8-1-05	Civil Rights & ARTS
Date Re - Referred:	To: (committee)
Date Re - Referred:	To: (committee)
	- no nv.
Date of Final Passage:	Full Council Vote:
8-8-05	90
Date Presented to Mayor:	Date Approved:
89-05	8/16/05
Date Returned to City Clerk:	Date Published: T.O. F.T
Date Vetoed by Mayor:	Date Veto Published:
Date Passed Over Veto:	Veto Sustained:

The City of Seattle - Legislat Council Bill/Ordinance sponsor	ive Departmen ed by:	t Williams Councilmember
Comm	ittee Action:	
Pass 30 as amended fto be held until a Executive) 8-8-05 Passed 9-0	(Licata Rella Laswers receive	
This file is complete and ready for presentation	to Full Council. Com	mittee:
Law Department		

City Clerk Review

OMP Review

Law Dept. Review

Electronic Copy Loaded Indexed

Ben Noble/BDN Fire Facilities – As Amended August 2, 2005 version #2

and

ODDINANC

ORDINANCE 121889

Budget, including the 2005-2010 Capital Improvement Program (CIP); amending a CIP project; making appropriations to the Fleets and Facilities Department, from the Cumulative Reserve Subfund and the 2003 Fire Facilities Fund to pay for the construction of a new Fire Station 10; all by a three-fourths vote of the City Council.

WHEREAS, on November 4, 2003 the voters of Seattle approved the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy, which will provide up to \$167.2 million in funding to upgrade Fire Department facilities;

AN ORDINANCE relating to the construction of new fire facilities; amending the 2005 Adopted

WHEREAS, the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy did not include funding for the construction of a new Fire Department Headquarters; and

WHEREAS, the escalation of construction costs in 2004 and 2005 has significantly outpaced the forecasts used in the development of the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy and thus created potential funding challenges; and

WHEREAS, the legislation authorizing the 2003 Fire Facilities levy identified that specific Levy projects would be designed and built to meet the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver rating, "subject to the appropriation of sufficient funds to accomplish that rating while reasonably meeting other design objectives"; and

WHEREAS, Resolution 30121 established as City policy that new or renovated City-owned facilities over 5,000 gross square feet of occupied space shall meet a minimum LEED Silver rating; Now, Therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. In order to pay for necessary capital costs and expenses incurred or to be incurred in 2005, but for which insufficient appropriations were made, the appropriations for the following in the 2005 Budget are increased from the funds shown, as follows:



Ben Noble/BDN Fire Facilities - As Amended August 2, 2005 version #2

1	Itom
2	Item
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	1.2
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	1.3
13	
14	

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Item	Fund	Department	Budget Control Level	Amount
1.1	Cumulative	Fleets and	Fire Station 10 (A1FL110)	
	Reserve Subfund –	Facilities		\$2,200,000
	REET I			
	Subaccount			
	(00163)			
1.2	Cumulative	Fleets and	Fire Station 10 (A1FL110)	\$2,800,000
	Reserve Subfund –	Facilities		
	REET II			
	Subaccount			
	(00161)			
1.3	2003 Fire	Fleets and	Fire Station 10 (A1FL110)	\$1,000,000
	Facilities Fund	Facilities		
	(34440)			
	Total			\$6,000,000

Of the additional \$6,000,000 appropriated for the Fire Station 10 project (A1FL110), \$4,000,000 is appropriated solely to pay for the change order that will be negotiated by the City with the project general contractor to address the costs associated with the additional work required to prepare the project site for the potential future construction of a Fire Department headquarters function and the Fire Marshall's Office and may be used for no other purpose. No more than \$2,000,000 of the additional \$6,000,000 appropriated for the Fire Station 10 project (A1FL110) can be spent until the Chair of the Public Safety, Civil Rights and Arts Committee files with the City Clerk his or her certification that the Fleets and Facilities Department has provided the Council with independent cost estimates for the additional work required to prepare the project site for the potential future construction of a Fire Department headquarters function and the Fire



Ben Noble/BDN Fire Facilities – As Amended August 2, 2005 version #2

Marshall's Office. The appropriations made in this section shall carry forward from year to year until fully expended.

Section 2. The description of the "Fire Station 10" project #A1FL110 in the 2005-2010 Adopted Capital Improvement Program for the Fleets and Facilities Department is amended to read as follows:

"This project builds a new, relocated Fire Station 10. The new station houses <u>essentially</u> the same functions as the existing facility, <u>potentially including a headquarters function and the Fire Marshal's Office</u>. however, t The existing reserve hazmat unit is slated to move to Fire Station 31. The new Fire Station 10 is co-located with a new "Fire Alarm Center" project (A1FL201) and a new "Emergency Operations Center" project (A1FL301). Operations and maintenance costs will be incorporated into future Seattle Fire Department budgets."

Section 3. By September 30th, 2005, the Fleets and Facilities Department shall provide to the Fire Facilities Oversight Committee and the Council a revised schedule and financial plan for completion of the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy projects. This revised schedule and financial plan shall include:

- i) An updated schedule for the design, construction, completion and occupancy of all levy-funded projects and facilities;
- ii) A detailed assessment of what additional funding, if any, would be needed to ensure that all projects in the Neighborhood Stations category that include rebuilding or fully remodeling stations achieve the LEED Silver rating;
- iii) A detailed assessment of how inflationary escalation in construction costs could affect overall Levy finances, including sensitivity analysis around different assumptions regarding future construction cost inflation rates; and
- iv) A clear plan for how future inflationary costs increases in Levy projects will be managed. In particular, the Fire Facilities Oversight Committee and the Council need to understand to what degree such costs can and will be managed within existing funding (both levy and non-levy), require changes in project scope, or lead to future supplementary funding requests.



(Seal)

Ben Noble/BDN Fire Facilities – As Amended August 2, 2005 version #2

Once such a revised schedule and financial plan is provided, Council may consider a request to appropriate an additional \$1,000,000 in REET I funds, if available, to mitigate the cost increases projected for the Fire Station 10 project that are the result of higher construction inflation than was assumed in the development of the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy.

Section 4. In accordance with RCW 35.32A.060, some of the foregoing appropriations are made to meet actual necessary expenditures of the City for which insufficient appropriations have been made due to causes which could not reasonably have been foreseen at the time of the making of the 2005 Budget.

Section 5. Any acts consistent with the authority and prior to the effective date of this ordinance are hereby ratified and confirmed.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by a three-fourths (3/4) vote of all the members of the City Council the 3+5 day of August, 2005, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this day of August, 2005.

President ______of the City Council

Approved by me this 16 day of 174 1005

Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor

Filed by me this 17th day of 12005.

City Clerk

City Cl



FISCAL NOTE

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	DOF Analyst/Phone:
Finance	Ben Nobe/684-8160	Candice Chin/233-7014

AN ORDINANCE relating to the construction of new fire facilities; amending the 2005 Adopted Budget, including the 2005-2010 Capital Improvement Program (CIP); amending a CIP project; making appropriations to the Fleets and Facilities Department, from the Cumulative Reserve Subfund and the 2003 Fire Facilities Fund to pay for the construction of a new Fire Station 10; all by a three-fourths vote of the City Council.

• Summary of the Legislation:

This legislation authorizes an additional \$6 million for the Fire Station 10 project, including \$4 million to allow the future construction of a Fire Headquarters building (including office space for the Fire Department's command staff and the Fire Marshall's Office) on the site, \$1 million to provide funding for measure to ensure that the facility will meet LEED Silver status, and \$1 million to address unanticipated inflation costs. (A more detailed summary of the proposed appropriations appears on the attached sheet.)

The legislation also directs the Fleets and Facilities Department provide the Fire Facilities Oversight Committee and the City Council a revised schedule and financial plan for completion of the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy projects, by September 30th, 2005.

Background:

The 2003 Fire Facilities Levy did not provide funding for a new Fire Headquarters (HQ) facility, although it was generally acknowledge that the current site at the 'old' Fire Station 10 was not suitable for the long-run. This legislation does not provide funding for the HQ, nor does it commit the City to building a new facility at the new Fire Station 10 site. However, it does provide \$4 million for work to reinforce the foundation of the proposed buildings and to rebuild a portion of the Yesler Way Viaduct to make it possible to build such a facility in the future.

\$1 million is appropriated to pay for the following measures to help ensure Silver LEED status for the current project: a green roof (\$350K), water conservation through capture of hose drill water for reuse to wash fire apparatus (\$150K), and the use of smaller higher efficiency HVAC units that allow efficient performance both when the EOC is not in activation mode and when it is fully activated (\$350K). Design costs account for the remaining \$150K.

Finally, \$1 million in additional funding is provided to cover unanticipated inflation costs.



Ben Noble 115338.doc August 1, 2005 Version #1

• Please check one of the following:

This legislation does not have any financial implications.

X This legislation has financial implications.

Please see Attachment 1 to this Fiscal Note for a description of the financial implications of this ordinance.

- What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives? The same objective could not be achieved without this legislation.
- Is the legislation subject to public hearing requirements? NO
- Other Issues: **NO**



Fire Facilities Supplementary Funding Ordinance Summary

Item Number	Description of Action	Amount
1.1	Fire Station 10 Enhancements for Silver LEED and	\$2,200,000
1.1	Headquarters Foundation (REET I from CRF Fund) A portion of the proposed supplemental appropriation (\$1,000,000) increases funding for the Fire Station 10 Replacement Project so that the project can include various building enhancements required in an attempt to receive a Silver rating on the US Green Building Council's LEED scale. Such enhancements include upgrades to HVAC and associated systems. The Fire Station 10 Replacement Project is currently budgeted to achieve a "certified" rating on the LEED scale. Although the potential savings have not been monetized, reductions in electrical and water usage due to LEED measures will reduce the new facilities' O&M costs. \$1,200,000 of portion proposed supplemental appropriation would provide funding for the Fire Station 10 Replacement Project to build a foundation structure that will allow the eventual construction of a second phase to the complex that would include the Seattle Fire Department Headquarters and the Fire Marshal's Office. Building the proposed base "pad" now will be less expensive and quicker to accomplish while the site is under construction for the first phase of the site's development and will ensure that phase two can proceed as quickly as possible. This appropriation may be replaced with bond proceeds as part of the 2006 Budget.	
1.2	Shoring along the Yesler Way Viaduct (REET II from CRF Fund)	\$2,800,000
	This appropriation increases funding for the Fire Station 10 Replacement Project to provide essential shoring along the Yesler Way viaduct. Because it is for transportation purposes, it is eligible for REET II funding. This appropriation may be replaced with bond proceeds as part of the 2006 Budget.	
1.3	Fire Station 10 - Inflation Adjustment (Fire Levy Fund) The final portion of the proposed supplemental appropriation (\$1,000,000) increases funding for the Fire Station 10 Replacement Project to pay for the cost of unbudgeted construction inflation that has increased at a significantly greater rate than the level budgeted in the Fire and Emergency Facilities Levy. If the proposed funding is not provided, significant redesign work will be required at added cost.	\$1,000,000



Ben Noble 115338.doc August 1, 2005 Version #1



(PAS) COTTY CLERK

1. What does the \$1 million of additional funding for LEED Silver include (what specific measures)?

The \$1 million funds the following features: the green roof (\$350K), water conservation through capture of hose drill water for reuse to wash fire apparatus (\$150K), and the use of smaller higher efficiency HVAC units that allow efficient performance both when the EOC is not in activation mode and when it is fully activated (\$350K). Design costs account for the remaining \$150K. These additional features add between five to eight LEED points and allow the project to reach silver LEED (33 to 39 points). Specifically, we expect points to be added in the following LEED categories: storm water management, reduced heat islands, water efficiency, water use reduction, and optimized energy performance.

What is already in the budget for LEED and what specific measures are planned?

There were no dollars allocated for silver LEED in the project budget. Designing FS10 consistent with existing City practices and in conformance with existing code already ensured that this new facility would achieve LEED certified status, with approximately 28-30 points. These points would be earned at low or no cost through features such as recycled construction materials and carpet, low-VOC paint and sustainable finishes, and significant use of natural daylighting.

2. Can direct operational savings be attributed to the measures to be installed with the additional \$1 million? If so, how much per year?

Yes, the architect and design consultants have indicated that based on the additional features, we can expect to save between 10% to 15% in electricity usage and 15% to 20% in water usage, as compared to a building designed consistent with basic code requirements.

3. Are there unresolved Design Review issues with the proposed FS10/EOC/FAC design? If so, what are they?

The Seattle Design Commission unanimously approved both the Schematic Design and Design Development for this project. They have no more formal Design reviews for this project. Additionally, they reviewed and supported the proposed Alley Vacation petition approved by Council in June 2005 (Resolution 30770). The project team continues to work with the Department of Neighborhoods and the International Special Review Board and the Citizen Advisory Panel as we move forward to construction.

4. When will FFD be able to present Council with additional design options for the portion of FS10 along 4th Avenue, between Washington(?) and Yesler (in particular options that avoid a blank brick façade at street level).

The design team is currently working on additional design options that will be presented in September.



5. What was the total budget for FS10/EOC/FAC and what is the current proposed budget?

The Levy Program established an original budget of \$36.8, excluding land acquisition. The current proposed budget totals \$42.8 million.

6. What does \$4 million for Fire Dept. Headquarters include (I would like detailed itemized cost estimates that distinguish expenditures on Yelser Viaduct from costs of foundation work)?

The \$4 million total cost includes \$2.8 million for the Yesler Viaduct, covering stabilization/replacement of the concrete retaining wall on the south side of the viaduct. This will require replacement of the existing concrete trolley panels and old steel tracks that currently make up the wall. The SFD HQ foundation work's \$1.2 million cost is for installation of caissons along the north side of the site and auger cast piles adjacent to the new EOC/FAC/FS10 north wall.

7. With respect to the Yesler Viaduct, what is difference between what is proposed for FS10/EOC/FAC project and what is needed for transportation purposes – both in terms of the physical work and the costs?

The project team is working in conjunction with SDOT to ensure that any stabilization/replacement of the existing south retaining wall meets both the requirements of SDOT's Bridges and Structures Division as well as shoring objectives. The \$2.8 million covers only the stabilization /replacement adjacent to the FS10 site. There are no costs associated with any other work to the right-of-way either in the street or on the sidewalk above the site on Yesler Way.

8. To the extent that some of the Yesler Viaduct costs can be attributed to a transportation purpose, is a portion of the work eligible for REET II funding?

If some of the Yesler Viaduct costs can be attributed to a transportation purpose, then that portion of the work would be eligible for REET II funding. Allowable uses of REET II generally include sidewalks, street lighting systems, streets, roads, highways, and traffic signals. Department of Finance confirms that there is sufficient unreserved fund balance in the REET II subaccount to cover the eligible portion of the \$4M requested for Fire Dept. Headquarters.

9. How was (will be?) the 'change order' for HQ related work negotiated with Hoffman? Do we have independent cost estimates for the additional work? If so, please provide.

If funding is authorized, the project team anticipates negotiating a change order with the FS10 (GC/CM) contractor for the Yesler Way work as well as the pilings and caissons for HQ foundation work. The design team worked with MKA structural and civil engineers to establish and confirm the cost estimate, upon which the \$4 million figure is based. As part of any change order negotiation the team would obtain an independent cost estimate for the final design scope of work.

10. In at least rough terms, what is the total estimated cost for a new Fire Department HQ, including the Fire Marshall Offices? Please provide relevant 'back-up'/documentation (however general) for whatever estimate is provided.



Assuming a 56,000 to 60,000 square foot facility at a standard cost of \$350 to \$400 per square foot, the FS10 project team estimates a construction cost range of \$19.6 million to \$24 million in 2005 dollars with no inflation. The FS10 project team has estimated the \$24 million construction cost plus soft costs for a total of \$45.5 million for a five-story HQ with three levels of parking. These features address SFD's operational needs and code requirements.

11. Can you provide Council visual documentation of how the proposed FS10/EOC/FAC project site would appear with a 5-6 story Fire HQ's located above the area now proposed for parking? Ideally, this documentation would build on the FS10/EOC/FAC visuals shared with Council at last Monday's briefing session.

Project concepts are available for Council review.

12. What role did the Oversight Committee have in the \$6 million in supplementary funding request? What is the Committee's position on the HQ work?

The Oversight Committee was provided a brief overview of the additional funding request and additional scope at the second quarter 2005 meeting (June 30, 2005). The Committee meets quarterly and is an advisory body to the City that also serves as a key link between FFD, SFD, and the public.

13. Within existing funding (including the planned use of limited non-levy funds), does FFD now anticipate that the remaining station rebuild and major remodel projects will reach the Silver LEED standard, per the goals established in section 3.D of the Levy ordinance?

Current planned project budgets for the rebuilds and major renovation projects allow the projects to reach LEED certified status.

Background for questions 14 and 15:

The attached file includes a summary of the final financial 'package' for the 2003 Fire Levy, as it was negotiated between the Mayor's Office and the Council. You will note that in setting a funding amount of \$167.2 million it was acknowledged that some scope reductions would be needed to reduce project cost. In particular, a series of 'bid alternates' were identified for specific projects. (I would also note that, although certain items are labeled "Council Staff Proposals" and others "Executive Proposals," this final Levy structure represented a negotiated agreement that was understood and approved by both parties.) This leads to a couple of questions . . .

14. Does the current design for FS10 include the two additional bays specifically identified as potential cost-saving scope reductions? If so, what effort was made to determine what actual savings might be achieved by eliminating these bays? And if they are included, what, if any, alternative future project savings were identified to offset the loss of these potential savings? Was the Oversight Committee consulted on this matter?

The current FS10 square foot program reflects the equivalent bay reduction of approximately 2,500 square feet as identified in the "bid alternate" approach.

15. More generally, do the current plans for completing the Levy include a process for capturing the savings (of roughly \$4.8 million) that are anticipated by the final financial 'package' as represented in the attached document?

The savings identified in the final financial package were targets and speculative, requiring actual project designs and cost estimates to determine if savings would result when the programs were reduced. As with the FS10 project, cost savings did not materialize with the program reduction of 2,500 square feet, due to the construction inflation which eliminated any "savings" that we may have achieved by scope reduction.



Responses to Follow-Up Questions (July 28, 2005) on FS10/EOC/FAC Project

1. Please provide time and material cost estimates (unit price) for the additional LEED enhancements.

The following current LEED cost estimates are based on design development level documents.

Green Roof		Cost (\$1,000's)
Direct Construction Costs		•
Additional Structure		50
Liquid applied rubber roofing		70
planting infrastructure and material (18,000 sf)		150
Indirect costs and sales tax		80
Sub	total:	. 350
Hose Drill Water Recycling System	,	
Direct Construction Costs		
Detention tanks, trenching and plumbing		50
Pumps, filters and electrical		65
Indirect costs and sales tax		35
Sub	total:	150
Additional HVAC Units		
Direct Construction Costs		
HVAC Units		150
Air distribution, ducting and controls		120
Indirect costs and sales tax		80
Sub	total:	350
Grand Total Silver LEED Estin	nate:	850

As noted in our previous response, design costs for these elements account for the remaining \$150,000.

2. Can the direct operational savings be translated into dollar amounts?

The inclusions of the energy saving features to archive a Silver LEED rating are projected to result in an improvement over the Seattle Energy Code of 10-15%. We do not yet have an estimate of what total energy usage will be in the new facility and therefore we cannot determine what the dollar value of the savings will be.

The water-saving features are projected to save 15-20% based on areas of the facility that recapture or reuse water. Again, we do not yet have specific volumes on which to project a dollar value.

8. Please confirm whether \$2.8M is a reasonable/conservative estimate of the transportation work involved with the HQ foundation, so that we can determine whether the funding source can be REET II.



The \$2.8 million is a reasonable cost estimate of the work required for the stabilization/replacement of the concrete retaining wall on the south side of Yesler Viaduct.

9. Please provide estimates from MKA to support the \$4M cost estimate.

The cost estimate for this work is based on the 8,225 SF of wall that supports Yesler Way and includes the following elements: demolishing the existing right-of-way wall, maintaining Yesler Way during this work, replacing the existing wall, and supporting the structural requirements of the new wall. The cost estimate is based on a conceptual level of design. The subsequent work with SDOT has not resulted in any additional requirements that would result in the current cost estimate not being adequate. We worked with MKA to develop these cost estimates. MKA did not provide us with a cost estimate document.

10. Ben rounded the \$45.5 million amount to \$50 million to conclude that the 60,000 facility would cost \$833 per s.f. [Actually, I added the \$4 million now requested to the \$45.5 million estimate and then rounded the resulting \$49.5 million to \$50 million.] Is this an appropriate breakdown of the project costs?

The \$45.5 million represents an order of magnitude figure based on a massing diagram intended only for broad decision making purposes. The improvement to Yesler Way and foundation enhancements will ensure that future use of the site does not interfere with operations of the FS10/EOC/FAC facility. It is premature to determine a per square foot cost estimate based on the existing massing diagram.

11. Please provide hard copies of these project concepts for review in advance of the Public Safety Committee meeting.

The Schematic Massing Study is currently available via hard copy only. A copy will be delivered for your review.



27

28

ORDINANCE 1 2 AN ORDINANCE relating to the construction of new fire facilities; amending the 2005 Adopted 3 Budget, including the 2005-2010 Capital Improvement Program (CIP); amending a CIP project; making appropriations to the Fleets and Facilities Department, from the 4 Cumulative Reserve Subfund and the 2003 Fire Facilities Fund to pay for the construction 5 of a new Fire Station 10; all by a three-fourths vote of the City Council. 6 WHEREAS, on November 4, 2003 the voters of Seattle approved the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy, which will provide up to \$167.2 million in funding to upgrade Fire Department facilities; 7 and 8 WHEREAS, the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy did not include funding for the construction of a new 9 Fire Department Headquarters; and 10 WHEREAS, the escalation of construction costs in 2004 and 2005 has significantly outpaced the 11 forecasts used in the development of the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy and thus created potential funding challenges; and 12 13 WHEREAS, the legislation authorizing the 2003 Fire Facilities levy identified that specific Levy projects would be designed and built to meet the Leadership in Energy and Environmental 14 Design (LEED) Silver rating, "subject to the appropriation of sufficient funds to accomplish that rating while reasonably meeting other design objectives"; and 15 WHEREAS, Resolution 30121 established as City policy that new or renovated City-owned 16 facilities over 5,000 gross square feet of occupied space shall meet a minimum LEED 17 Silver rating; Now, Therefore, 18 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS: 19 Section 1. In order to pay for necessary capital costs and expenses incurred or to be 20 incurred in 2005, but for which insufficient appropriations were made, the appropriations for the 21 following in the 2005 Budget are increased from the funds shown, as follows: 22 23 24 25 26

Item	Fund	Department	Budget Control Level	Amount
1.1	Cumulative	Fleets and	Fire Station 10 (A1FL110)	\$5,000,000
	Reserve Subfund –	Facilities		/
	REET I			
	Subaccount			
	(00163)	,		
1.2	2003 Fire	Fleets and	Fire Station 10 (A1FL110)	\$1,000,000
	Facilities Fund	Facilities		
	(34440)		. /	
	Total			\$6,000,000

The appropriations made in this section shall carry forward from year to year until fully expended.

Section 2. The description of the "Fire Station 10" project #A1FL110 in the 2005-2010 Adopted Capital Improvement Program for the Fleets and Facilities Department is amended to read as follows:

"This project builds a new, relocated Fire Station 10. The new station houses essentially the same functions as the existing facility, potentially including a headquarters function and the Fire Marshal's Office. however, t The existing reserve hazmat unit is slated to move to Fire Station 31. The new Fire Station 10 is co-located with a new "Fire Alarm Center" project (A1FL201) and a new "Emergency Operations Center" project (A1FL301). Operations and maintenance costs will be incorporated into future Seattle Fire Department budgets."

Section 3. By September 30th, 2005, the Fleets and Facilities Department shall provide to the Fire Facilities Oversight Committee and the Council a revised schedule and financial plan for completion of the 2003/Fire Facilities Levy projects. This revised schedule and financial plan shall include:

- i) An updated schedule for the design, construction, completion and occupancy of all levy-funded projects and facilities;
- ii) A detailed assessment of what additional funding, if any, would be needed to ensure that all projects in the Neighborhood Stations category that include rebuilding or fully remodeling stations achieve the LEED Silver rating;
- iii) A detailed assessment of how inflationary escalation in construction costs could affect overall Levy finances, including sensitivity analysis around different assumptions regarding future construction cost inflation rates; and
- iv) A clear plan for how future inflationary costs increases in Levy projects will be managed. In particular, the Fire Facilities Oversight Committee and the Council need to understand to what degree such costs can and will be managed within existing funding (both levy and non-levy), require changes in project scope, or lead to future supplementary funding requests.

Once such a revised schedule and financial plan is provided, Council may consider a request to appropriate an additional \$1,000,000 in REET I funds, if available, to mitigate the cost increases projected for the Fire Station 10 project that are the result of higher construction inflation than was assumed in the development of the 2003 Fire Facilities Levy.

Section 4. In accordance with RCW 35.32A.060, some of the foregoing appropriations are made to meet actual necessary expenditures of the City for which insufficient appropriations have been made due to causes which could not reasonably have been foreseen at the time of the making of the 2005 Budget.

Section 5. Any acts/consistent with the authority and prior to the effective date of this ordinance are hereby ratified and confirmed.

28

1 2 3	Fassed by a timee-fourths (5/4) vote of an are members of the	
4	of, 2005, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this	
5	day of, 2005.	
6		
7	Presidentof the City Council	
8	Approved by me this day of, 2005.	
9		
10		
11	Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor	
12	Filed by me this day of, 2005.	
13	Thed by the data day or	
14	City Clerk	- ,
15	(Seal)	
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	1	

STATE OF WASHINGTON – KING COUNTY

189227

CITY OF SEATTLE, CLERKS OFFICE

No. TITLE ONLY

Affidavit of Publication

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below stated period. The annexed notice, a

CT:121878-121890

was published on

08/19/05

The amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of \$ 178.88, which amount has been paid in full.

08/19/05

Notary public for the State of Washington,

n to before me on

residing in Seattle

Affidavit of Rublication

State of Washington, King County

City of Seattle

TITLE-ONLY PUBLICATION

The full text of the following ordinances, passed by the City Council on August 8, 2005, and published here by title only, will be mailed upon request, or can be accessed electronically at http://clerk.ci.seattle.wa.us. For further information, contact the Seattle City Clerk at 684-8344.

ORDINANCE NO. 121890

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money to pay certain audited claims and ordering the payment thereof.

ORDINANCE NO. 121889

AN ORDINANCE relating to the con-AN ORDINANCE relating to the construction of new fire facilities; amending the 2005 Adopted Budget, including the 2005-2010 Capital Improvement Program (CIP); amending a CIP project; making appropriations to the Fleets and Facilities Department, from the Cumulative Reserve Subfund and the 2003 Fire Facilities Fund to pay for the construction of a new Fire Station 10; all by a three-fourths vote of the City Council.

ORDINANCE NO. 121888

AN ORDINANCE conditionally authorizand ORDINANCE conditionally authorizing the Mayor to sign and/or execute individual collective bargaining agreements by and
between the City of Seattle and the individual Unions which are part of the Coalition of
City Unions to be effective through December
31, 2007; and providing payment therefor.

ORDINANCE NO. 121887

AN ORDINANCE relating to compensa-AN ORDINANCE Feating to compensa-tion for certain City officers and employees not covered by collective bargaining agree-ments and providing salary increases effec-tive December 29, 2004; December 28, 2005; and December 27, 2006; and providing payment therefor.

ORDINANCE NO. 121886

AN ORDINANCE relating to City employment, to be known as the 2005 Pay Zone Ordinance, which adjusts the pay zone structures for the City's discretionary pay programs for the year 2005; provides for the Personnel Director to maintain consistency in pay administration for the Information. Technology Professional program and sets the maximum of the pay zone as the limit for base pay setting in the Executive, Manager and Strategic Advisor Programs.

ORDINANCE NO. 121885

AN ORDINANCE superseding Ordinance121692 to authorize the Personnel Director to provide a wage supplement and health care benefits for employees who are mobilized by the United States Armed Forces for active military service.

ORDINANCE NO. 121883

AN ORDINANCE amending the 2005
An ORDINANCE amending the 2005
Capital Improvement Program (CIP);
changing appropriations to various departments and from various funds in the Budget;
making cash transfers between various Cityfunds and subfunds; removing a 2005 budget
proviso; amending the CIP for Seattle Public
Utilities; and creating positions, of which one
is exempt; all by a three-fourths vote of the
City Council.

ORDINANCE NO. 121882

AN ORDINANCE amending the 2005 Adopted Budget, including the 2005-2010 Capital Improvement Program (CIP); amending and creating new CIP projects; making appropriations to various departments, from the Cumulative Reserve Subfund and Transportation Operating Fund; all by a three-fourths vote of the City Council.

ORDINANCE NO. 121881

AN ORDINANCE NO. 121881

AN ORDINANCE authorizing, in 2005, acceptance of funding from non-City sources; authorizing the Department of Executive Administration, the Department of Parks and Recreation, the Office of Policy and Management, the Seattle Fire Department, the Seattle Municipal Court, and the Seattle Police Department to accept specified grants and private funding.

ORDINANCE NO. 121880

AN ORDINANCE relating to assistance for the homeless, authorizing an agreement with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development for additional funds available under the Stuart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act.

ORDINANCE NO. 121878

ORDINANCE NO. 121878

AN ORDINANCE relating to the City's Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development for 2005-2008; authorizing acceptance of grant funds from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development for programs included in the City's Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development; decreasing appropriations in the 2005 Budget for activities under the Emergency Shelter Grant Program, HOME Program, Community Development Block Grant Program, and Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS program; amending City's Consolidated Plan to amend the 2005 Table of Proposed Projects component and the Housing Policies appendix, and revising Appendix P to such Plan to include a new Neighborhood Revitalization. Strategy for Southeast Seattle and to delete such strategies for four other neighborhoods; authorizing the submission of revised Appendix P to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development; authorizing to ther conforming amendments to the Consolidated Plan; allocating unused funds from prior years; and ratifying and confirming prior acts.

ORDINANCE NO. 121879

AN ORDINANCE relating to Seattle AN ORDINANCE relating to Seattle Center; authorizing the execution of an agree-ment between the City and IRIS Holdings, LLC ("IRIS") relating to the City's potential acquisition of certain rights-of-way through property located on Fifth Avenue North that IRIS has contracted to purchase from the

Publication ordered by JUDITH PIPPIN, City Clerk

Date of publication in the Seattle Daily Journal of Commerce, August 19, 2005. 8/19(189227)